Organbuilders and research: Two points of view
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The organbuilder’s viewpoint

There is a general belief that voicing is foreign to most organbuilders. The pipe organ is a traditional instrument, for which it is natural to think that everything has already been invented. Research is therefore perceived by most as something that has too little value, since no advancements can be made. The possible exception to this involves the console systems and controls, where conservative attitudes in many cases have been overwhelmed by the very practical need of many organists to have tools on hand that can facilitate their performances. But what about sound, and the very principles that control the ancient art of voicing? How can organbuilders find out scientific evidence in a field that is mostly based on tradition and personal beliefs? My entire career has been guided by two principles: anything can be improved upon, and an organbuilder never ceases to learn. The combination of these two beliefs has determined my personal desire to take part in scientific research programs. For almost a decade, Fratelli Ruffatti has participated in joint European projects aimed at finding ways to improve the art of organbuilding. Such projects have determined the need to conduct a great deal of fundamental research, which has been carried out over the years by a number of notable institutions, among which are the Fraunhofer Institut für Bauphysik (IBP) in Stuttgart, Germany, the University of Edinburgh, the University of Prague, and the Steinbeis Transfer Center of Applied Acoustics in Stuttgart. The Fraunhofer IBP in particular has been the constant guide and the main force behind fundamental applied research. The programs have been encouraged and co-sponsored by the European Commission in Brussels. A small group of organbuilders (coming from different European countries, participates in the research investment and actively cooperates with the scientists. Astonishing results have been obtained over the years, ranging from more efficient and silent wind systems, to efficient ways to evaluate room acoustics and to better adapt pipe organs to different acoustical environments. Recently, a revolutionary wind system has been invented, a monumental advancement over the traditional blowing methods, which allows the organbuilder to simply avoid the use of reservoirs, scavengers or related equipment, while at the same time obtaining unprecedented stability and efficiency in the wind supply of pipe organs. The research currently under way deals with sound. The aim of this two-year process is to find better ways to reduce or eliminate problems that exist both in the field of “scaling”, or pipe dimensions, and in the voicing process by which the pipes are given their proper sound character. At first sight, one might think that a project of this nature is aimed at “standardizing” organ sound, a notion that is completely incorrect for all. This is not at all the case. The idea is to provide scientific, indisputable knowledge, which can be used by each organbuilder to better reach his individual tonal ideals. Examples are the application of scientific principles to calculate an efficient shape for large wooden pipes that will make them prompt in their attack despite their size, while ensuring the production of the needed fundamen-

alt. Other interesting examples under research are finding practical ways to make the transition between stopped and open pipes, or the transition between wooden and metal pipes within a single rank, as tonally undetectable as possible. In such a research program, the subject of voicing techniques could not be avoided. Once again, the objective was not that of teaching new ways to voic-

ers with decades of experience, but to find out scientific evidence in a field that has never been properly analyzed with scientific methods, with the purpose of supplying new knowledge that the voic-

ers will use at their discretion and according to their personal taste. One of the steps that has been ana-

yzed concerns the investigation of the differences between the practices of opening and closing the toe of the pipe (so-called “open-toe voicing” or “closed-toe voicing”). In this tech-

ique, the pipe toe opening is not used to control the volume of sound that the pipe produces. On the other hand, with the technique called “closed-toe voicing” the volume control in the pipe toe is achieved by means of adjusting the di-

ameter of the pipe toe, with the bar of the pipe toe being generally greater, as well as the quality of the sound. If the volume can be well equalized by closing the pipe toes, who choose to avoid such practices are only favoring the first, partial results of the investiga-

tion are proving that such a practice is an oversimplification. The two methods produce different tonal results, which can be detected and measured. An experimental session was called in April 2009 at the Fraunhofer Institute in Stuttgart. The participants spent two solid days investigating a number of metal pipes specially built for the experiment. The research took place in a very sophisticated structure: a huge anechoic room of almost 2,000 cubic meters in volume. The test “floor”, a steel grille placed at mid-

height (20 feet from floor level), housed the several people involved in the experiments, plus all of the needed equipment: sophisticated pressure sensors, microphones (both a less sophisticated old-fashioned wind gauge), computers, state-of-the-art microphones, etc. The group of researchers included Dr. Judit Angster, head of the Research Group of Musical Acoustics and Photo-

acoustics at the Fraunhofer IBP; Prof. Andreas Miklos, director of the Steinbeis Transfer Center of Applied Acoustics and a world-famous researcher in the field; Johannes Kirschnach, voice- and restorer of the firm Mühleisen of Lingen, Germany; Francesco Ruffatti, tonal director and head voice of Fratelli Ruffatti of Padova, Italy; and Thomas Trommer and Maria Cabanes Sempere, scientists at the Fraunhofer IBP. During this intensive session, two sets of pipes, one of Principal scale and one of Open Flute scale, were analyzed. Each set was made of four identical pipes, two of them voiced with the open-
toe and two with a controlled-toe opening. To reduce the risk of subjectivity, each voice received two measurements: one open- and one closed-toe pipe. The same procedure was repeated at three different sound levels, all at 60 decibels, but with the second and third trial being slightly lower and slightly more than 7 inches. Pipes were voiced with no sticking at the labels, but further investigation was made by splitting the two bars to different configura-

The research team, back, left to right: Johannes Kirschnach, Judit Angster, Francesco Ruffatti, Andreas Miklos; front, left to right: Thomas Trommer, Maria Cabanes Sempere

on. One of the two bars was then used for each trial were equalized to equal, instrumentally measured sound volume. The wind pressure was measured not only inside the windchest but also in...
under equal conditions, the “wind noise,” a natural component of the pipe sound that the voicer normally tends to reduce or eliminate, was by far more noticeable in closed toe pipes. This is not at all an irrelevant difference: in practical terms, it means that pipes voiced with closed or partially opened toes will require a heavier presence of “nicks” at the languids in order to control the wind noise, and this in turn will determine significant modifications to the structure of their sound.

The final results will be presented, with scientific data and measurements, to the project participants in the near future. These are occasions where the various organbuilders share experiences and learn from the scientists, an invaluable help to modern organbuilding.

Notes
1. The current European research project is FP7-SME-2007-1, Research for SMEs, Innovative Methods and Tools for the Sound Design of Organ Pipes, INNOSOUND 222104. The group includes organbuilders:
   - Mühleisen, Linsberg, Germany
   - Klais, Bonn, Germany
   - Fratelli Ruffatti, Padova, Italy
   - Flentrop, Zaandam, the Netherlands
   - Mühleisen, Strasbourg, France
   - Schumacher, Baelen, Belgium
   - Blancafort, Collbató, Spain
   - Oficina e Escola de Organaria, Esmoriz (Porto), Portugal
   - Organ Work Manufacture, Pécs, Hungary
   - Boggaard, Rijssen, the Netherlands.

2. The toes in the closed-toe pipes were closed, on average, to about half diameter. The measurement of wind pressure inside the pipe foot of both the open- and closed-toe pipes was also recorded simultaneously but separately at both radiating points, i.e., at the mouth and at the top of the resonator. In addition, the “mouth tone” was also recorded from each pipe at each step.

A huge quantity of data was collected, which is currently being analyzed. During the test session, however, several interesting phenomena could already be observed. To everyone’s surprise, it was noted that the wind pressure inside the pipe foot in open-toe pipes showed an average pressure drop of 10% or less from the original pressure inside the windchest, while in the closed-toe pipes, even though these were still fairly open, the pressure drop was about 40 to 50%. A further immediate difference was detected in open- versus closed-toe pipes:

Wind pressure is being measured inside the pipe foot as each pipe is played

Storing recorded sound data in the computer

Measuring sound pressure levels on the test pipes

The most sophisticated equipment is being used to record the sound
The “mouth tone” of a pipe is being recorded. Sound-absorbing material is inserted inside the pipe body to prevent the development of a sound column.

The pipe toe was carried out by inserting a pressure sensor through a hole made for the purpose at equal position for all pipes at the back of the pipe.

The “mouth tone” is the “noise” produced at the mouth before it develops into sound. Sound-absorbing material is inserted inside the pipe toe, thus preventing the formation of the sound column. The pipe is actuated and the resulting “noise” is then recorded. The “noise” here described must not be mistaken with the “wind noise” produced by the pipe when it sounds normally, which is a normal component of the sound spectrum.

Since 1996, Francesco Ruffatti has been a partner, along with his brother Piero, of Fratelli Ruffatti—Ruffatti Brothers—Fratelli. Italy. The firm is involved in the restoration of historic organs and the construction of new pipe organs, and has worked for decades in Italy and many other countries, including the United States, Canada, South Korea, Japan, Australia, Mexico, and Sweden.

Fratelli Ruffatti holds the position of technical designer with the company. He supervises the design of construction parameters of the pipe stops and their voicing. He is involved directly in the study, cataloguing, and restoration of voicing, and researching the temperature, which is very important for the preservation of ancient organs undergoing restoration.

Ruffatti is a member of both the Association of Italian Organbuilders and the International Society of Organbuilders.

The scientist’s viewpoint

The organ is a traditional craft, which entails a valuable body of knowledge derived from generation to generation and which therefore should be preserved. Nevertheless, innovative design methods and technologies can be applied in the daily practice of this craft in order to optimize the design and production of organs, without endangering the valuable traditions inherent to their fabrication. Some of the projects that are taking part in the European research projects recognize that the quality and the effectiveness of their work can be considerably enhanced by adopting scientific and technological innovations into their craft.

In the current pipe project, before starting applied research, it was necessary to carry out fundamental research to reach a better understanding of the physics of flue pipes in organs. Furthermore, some special tools had to be developed, enabling special software to analyze the pipe attack and stationary sound. The measurements were carried out in the anechoic room of the Fraunhofer IBP, where an acoustic-free field could be achieved. Here the pipe sound can be detected without any acoustical influence from the surrounding space.

The pipes were positioned on a functioning model windchest. All the other parts of the wind system, like reservoir and blower, were set outside of the room so that the sound detection would not be disturbed by any noises (Figure 1). The sound of individual pipes was detected by changing parameters one at the time, in order to evaluate the physical effect of single voicing steps. The evaluation of the experimental results is currently being carried out with the help of the above-mentioned special software.

The selected flue pipes that are the object of the research are being analyzed from the standpoint of the physical features of their steady sound spectrum and of the analyzed onset of the sound. A stationary spectrum of a flue pipe can be seen in Figure 2. This spectrum shows the most important properties of the sound of flue pipes, some of which are listed as follows:

1. A series of harmonic partials. As is well known from the elements of the Fourier theory in mathematics, any periodic signal has a lined spectrum with several harmonic partials and mostly a complicated spectral envelope.

2. A second series of smaller and wider peaks, which are not harmonically related, but slightly stretched in frequency. These peaks are at the frequencies where the sound will be amplified by the pipe body (acoustically called pipe resonator).

3. A frequency-dependent base line. This is the characteristic noise spectrum of the air flowing out of the flue.

An example of attack transient of an organ pipe of the Diapason family can be seen in Figure 3. Three phases can be subjectively distinguished in the attack of flue pipes. These parts cannot be entirely separated in time because they overlap quite broadly. Therefore, there is better to refer to them as three components, which start almost simultaneously, but develop at different rates. These three components can be characterized as follows:

• Forerunner. This is the sound heard first. It is very difficult to describe. It may have a pitch, but sometimes no pitch can be assigned to it. Several different terms are used for this component, such as chuff, ping, flass, cough, etc.

• Appearance of a pitch. The second component in the attack usually has a pitch close to the pitch of a higher harmonic partial. This component is very important for certain stops. For example, for several diapason stops the second or the third harmonic can be heard preceding the fundamental.

• Onset of the fundamental. The third parameter of the attack is the rise time of the fundamental. For stops of the flute family, this rise time is very short, whereas it is very slow for stops of the string family. As the fundamental grows, certain components of the attack simultaneously become weaker.

The presence of the first two components is not compulsory in the attack. Moreover, the voice can seriously influence the attack by producing, according to his taste, a faster or slower sound, a more or less pronounced forerunner, brighter or more fundamental sound, etc.

It is worth mentioning that sometimes one or more partials are quite strong at the beginning of the attack, but become weaker in a later phase of the development of the sound. The measurements show that the perception of the attack can be assigned to measurable properties.

The three parts of the attack can be clearly detected in Figure 3. The forerunner appears in every partial, implying its broadband nature (chuff). Then the partials start to grow; the fastest component is the first one. After a while, the second will be the strongest; it dominates the attack in the 35–40 milliseconds domain. The fundamental slowly overtakes the second, which becomes slightly weaker at the beginning of the attack.

It can be assumed that the presented characteristics of the attack in flue pipes are related to the basic physical properties of the pipes. These relations will be investigated in the case of voicing with open and closed toe. In Figure 4 another three-dimensional representation of an analyzed onset (attack transient) of a flue pipe is shown. In this case also the time function of the noise between the partials can be observed.

One of the many tasks of the project is the investigation of the advantages and disadvantages of the voicing methods with an open-toe and with a controlled-toe opening. In doing so, an aspect that has been analyzed from a scientific viewpoint deals with the radiated sound power (“volume of sound”) as a physical parameter.

The values of the pressure and flow are indifferent from a physical point of view, since the same sound power can be achieved by:

• large foot pressure and small flue area (voicing with open toe)
• by small foot pressure and large flue area (voicing with closed toe).

Figure 1. Setup for the measurement of stationary spectra and attack transient

Figure 2. Typical stationary spectrum of a flue pipe detected at the labium.

Figure 3. Analyzed attack transient of a Diapason pipe
The sound power depends on the air volume, which is proportional to the flute area and to the square root of the wind pressure in the toe. The pressure in the foot is constant in the case of an open toe, consequently there is only one parameter, the flute area, which can be varied by the voicer. By closed-toe voicing, the wind pressure in the foot can be changed, e.g., in this case two parameters can be set: the wind pressure and the flute area.

There is one more difference that must be mentioned. In a closed-toe pipe, a cross-sectional jump in the flow occurs at the toe hole through which flow noises can be generated. As the measurement results show in Figure 5, the noise level in the pipe sound is lower in the case of voicing with an open pipe foot.

The above are only a few and partial examples of the thorough investigation that is being carried out to evaluate the different aspects and characteristics of the open-toe and closed-toe voicing methods. Their influence on the attack transients will also be investigated.

A great advancement in the research process has come from technology that allows one to see the air flow pattern at the pipe mouth. A plexiglass “window” was created in the pipe, and air mixed with smoke was utilized to activate the pipe. By means of sophisticated equipment, involving a laser light source and a high-speed camera, it has been possible to film the movement of the air flow (see illustrations). The process is the work of scientists Hubert Assierlechner, Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics (IBF), Stuttgart, and Margit Liebmann, Fraunhofer Institute for Chemical Technology (ICT), Pfinztal.

In addition to the subject above, the research program has already produced excellent results in examining wooden pipes, open and stopped, of different shapes, with the aim of scientifically calculating the best shape from the standpoint of the efficiency of their air column. In addition, specific research will be aimed at finding efficient solutions for the tonal transitions between stopped and open pipes, or between pipes of different shapes and materials within the same rank. This is not an easy task, but a very exciting one, which can bring immediate and tangible results to the day-by-day work of the organbuilders involved in the research.
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